
Improving Balance in the NEPOOL Governance Process

Joint Proposal to
**RTO Responsiveness and Governance
Working Group**

PSEG Energy Resources & Trade, LLC

GDF SUEZ Energy Marketing NA, Inc.

March 30, 2009



Issue Outlined at March 3 Meeting

- Current sector voting does not reflect balanced business interests.
 - Many “successful” votes ignore entire sector opposition.
- Minority voice encounters NEPOOL opposition at FERC.
 - NEPOOL filings are not transparent for minority concerns.
 - Inform participants prior to a vote.
 - Inform the FERC in filings.
 - Can require a higher FERC standard to contest.
- Interferes with balanced technical committee consideration consistent with commercial transactions – buyer & seller.

Revised Proposal

Additional Information: NEPOOL filing to FERC would include more information to improve transparency:

- With NEPOOL support:
 - Clear sector voting within the filing letter highlighting a minority position.
- Without NEPOOL Support:
 - Clear sector voting within the filing letter.
 - Information filing on positions and issues raised during the stakeholder process.

NEPOOL support determined through Two Tiered Voting:

- Tier 1 - Existing committee-wide vote threshold using the existing sector based voting; and,
- Tier 2 – Majority support in five of the six NEPOOL sectors.
 - i.e.: Two sectors cannot have majority opposition.

Questions? Comments?

